

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JACQUELINE ESCOBAR & JOHN aka
JACK SILVA,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

JEL EVENTS, et al.,

Defendant(s).

2:13-CV-1917 JCM (CWH)

ORDER

Presently before the court are defendant Jel Events, Inc.'s motions demanding security for costs from plaintiffs Jacqueline Escobar (doc. # 10) and John Silva (doc. # 11).

Defendant Jel Events requests that the plaintiffs each be required to submit a security for costs in the amount of \$500 pursuant to Nev. Rev. Stat 18.130.

The Ninth Circuit recognizes that "federal district courts have inherent power to require plaintiffs to post security for costs." *Simulnet E. Associates v. Ramada Hotel Operating Co.*, 37 F.3d 573, 574 (9th Cir. 1994). A federal district court typically follows the forum state's practice, particularly when a party is a non-resident. *See, e.g.*, § 2671 Security for Costs, 10 Fed. Prac. & Proc. Civ. § 2671 (3d ed.). Nev. Rev. Stat. 18.130 provides that the court may require an out-of-state plaintiff to post a security of costs in an amount up to \$500 upon a request by a defendant.

The court finds that it is appropriate to require that plaintiff Escobar and plaintiff Silva each post a security of \$500 in this matter.

1 Accordingly,

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, DECREED that defendant Jel Events Inc.'s
3 motions demanding securities of costs (docs. ## 10 & 11) be, and at the same time hereby are,
4 GRANTED.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff Escobar shall post a security bond in the amount
6 of \$500 within 14 days of the entry of this order.

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff Silva shall post a security bond in the amount of
8 \$500 within 14 days of the entry of this order.

9 DATED March 31, 2014.

10
11 
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE